

Planning Team Report

Oatlands Golf Club -	Land between No. 17 and N	lo. 21 Niblick Crescent,	Oatlands
Proposal Title :	Oatlands Golf Club - Land bet	ween No. 17 and No. 21 Nib	lick Crescent, Oatlands
12			
Proposal Summary :		-	o R2 Low Density Residential and mum permissible FSR and minimum
PP Number :	PP_2016_PARRA_005_00	Dop File No :	16/01414
Proposal Details	~		<i>.</i>
Date Planning Proposal Received :	07-Jan-2016	LGA covered :	Parramatta
Region :	Metro(Parra)	RPA :	Parramatta City Council
State Electorate :	PARRAMATTA	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
Location Details			
Street : Nik	olick Crescent		
Suburb : Oa	tlands City :	Sydney	Postcode : 2117
Land Parcel : Lo	t 39 DP 808581. Land between No	o. 17 and No. 21 Niblick Cres	scent, Oatlands.
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Mariah Said		-
Contact Number :	0298601540		
Contact Email :	mariah.said@planning.nsw.gov	.au	
RPA Contact Deta	ils		
Contact Name :	Suzie Jattan		
Contact Number :	0292282063		
Contact Email :	suzie.jattan@planning.nsw.gov	.au	
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Terry Doran	10	
Contact Number :	0298601579		
Contact Email :	Terry.Doran@planning.nsw.gov	/.au	
Land Release Data	a		
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro West Central subregion	Consistent with Strategy	y∶

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential /	
3		Employment land) :	
No. of Lots	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	3
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :	The Lobbyist Contact Reg with lobbyists regarding t	gister was checked on 19 January, this planning proposal.	2016 and indicated no contact
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	the outcome of the prelim	ncil resolved not to proceed with th ninary public exhibition and resolve nificantly from the LEP, and does n	ed that the application be
	- On 1 May 2014, a reques	st for a Pre-Gateway Review was lo	dged with the Department.
	- At its meeting of 1 Octol should be submitted for (ber 214, the S.W.J.R.P.P recommer Gateway determination.	nded that the planning proposal
	- On 3 February 2015, the to Gateway determination	Deputy Secretary determined that າ.	the proposal should proceed
		ncil resolved that it does not wish t ıbject planning proposal as it previ	
		Panel was appointed as the alterna Ih the plan making process.	ate RPA for progressing the
	- On 5 November 2015, th for Gateway determinatio	e Panel as RPA endorsed the plan n.	ning proposal to be submitted
External Supporting Notes :	than log barriers to preve	310 square metres. The site does r ent vehicle entry. The proposal has uare metres and one residual lot of	the potential to establish three
	t		
dequacy Assessmen	•		
dequacy Assessmen Statement of the obj			

Comment : The planning proposal seeks to amend the Parramatta Local Environmental Plan 2011 to enable low density residential development.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal seeks to apply the following planning controls to land between

number 17 and number 21 Niblick Crescent, Oatlands (Lot 39 DP 808581):

- rezone the land from RE2 Private Recreation to R2 Low Density Residential;
- apply a maximum permissible Height of Building control of 9m;
- apply a maximum permissible Floor Space Ratio of 0.5:1; and
- apply a minimum permissible Lot Size control of 550 square metres.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

- b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :
- * May need the Director General's agreement
- 2.3 Heritage Conservation
- 3.1 Residential Zones3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
- 4.3 Flood Prone Land

Is the Director General's agreement required? N/A

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

ified? SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS

2.3 HERITAGE CONSERVATION

This Direction does apply to the planning proposal as the site is adjacent to Oatlands House, which is a locally listed heritage item. There is a separation distance of 60m between the subject site and Oatlands House, and therefore the proposal is unlikely to impact on its heritage significance. The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this direction.

3.1 RESIDENTIAL ZONES

This Direction does apply to the planning proposal as it affects land within a proposed R2 Low Density Residential zone. The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this direction as it will make more efficient use of existing infrastructure and services.

3.4 INTEGRATING LAND USE AND TRANSPORT

This planning proposal is CONSISTENT with this Direction as it will provide dwellings within walking distance of public transport and neighbourhood shops (a bus stop is located 280m away).

4.1 ACID SULPHATE SOILS

The proposal is INCONSISTENT with this Direction as an acid sulphate soils study, required when an intensification of land uses is proposed, has not been prepared. This inconsistency is considered to be justified on the basis of minor significance given that: (a) the affection is by class 4 acid sulphate soils; and

(b) the matter will be further considered at development application stage under clause 6.1 of Parramatta Local Environmental Plan 2011.

4.3 FLOOD PRONE LAND

This Direction does not apply because the land is not flood prone. The subject site serves as an overland flow path corridor for the upstream catchment that predominantly covers the north western part of the Oatlands Golf Course.

Council's Catchment Management Team considered the hydrological and hydraulic modeling and flood plans at its meeting on 24 March 2014 and was satisfied that the localised flooding can be managed if prescribed conditions are applied. An amended flood study prepared on 29 October 2014 by Kozarovski and Partners, which concluded Oatlands Golf Club - Land between No. 17 and No. 21 Niblick Crescent, Oatlands that flooding can be managed, was considered by the Sydney West Joint Regional Planning Panel (the Panel) at its meeting on 5 November 2015. Both the Panel and Council staff are satisfied that potential localised flood can be managed. It is considered that this matter has been satisfactorily addressed. STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES **STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICY No 55 - Remediation of Land** A Phase 1 Preliminary Contamination Investigation Report was undertaken by Benbow on 8 February 2013 and found no contamination on the land, with no further contamination studies being required at the rezoning stage. The proposal is therefore **CONSISTENT** with this Policy. Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes If No, explain : Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes The maps are adequate for public exhibition purposes although the map labels for Comment : 'Current Floor Space Ratio Map' and 'Proposed Floor Space Ratio Map' should be relocated from page 8 to page 9 of the planning proposal to align with the relevant maps. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes Community consultation is to be carried out in accordance with Parramatta Council's Comment : adopted principles of community consultation, in particular, Council's Community Stakeholder Engagement Policy. Additional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No, comment : Proposal Assessment **Principal LEP:** Due Date : The principal LEP was made in October 2011. Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning The proposal is needed to rezone surplus land forming part of the Oatlands Golf Course to proposal : enable low density dwellings consistent with the existing residential character of Niblick Crescent.

Consistency with strategic planning framework :	Sydney". In regard to (a) page 11 of the plane DRAFT WEST CENTR The planning propose on an infill site in close PARRAMATTA COUN The subject site is no objectives. This plane	al is CONS this Direc anning pro RAL SUB-F al is consi se proximi ICIL'S RES ti dentifie ning propo	EY SISTENT with the NSW Gove tion, it is recommended that oposal be updated to refer to REGIONAL STRATEGY stent with the draft strategy, ity to public transport and lo SIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT d in the strategy but is consi osal enables the opportunity area that is in close proximi	r: "A Plan for Growing S providing residential d cal centres. STRATEGY stent with the strategy to increase the provisi	ydney." Iwellings 's on of
ю.	The planning propose	al is CONS	SISTENT with all relevant reg	ional and local strategi	es.
Environmental social economic impacts :	VEGETATION The site is predomina regard to potential en		sed area and is therefore sui tal impact.	table for development v	with
	undertaken by Kozar as a consequence of seeking to alleviate e	ovski and recent dra xisting loc	e vicinity of the site. An Ove Partners has taken into acco ninage improvement works t calised flooding. A proposed s no net increase on runoff f	ount changes to the cat o the Oatlandss Golf Co stormwater drainage s	tchment ourse
	•		en the subject site and Oatla verse impacts upon this heri		t to
Assessment Proces	S				
Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation :	DDG	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)					
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matter	r proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)(If Yes, reasons :	b) : No				
Identify any additional s	tudies, if required.				
If Other, provide reason	is :				

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Planning Proposal (Nov 2015).docx	Proposal	Yes
Appendix A - Flood Study Report.pdf	Study	Yes
2014SYW130 - Oatlands Golf Course.pdf	Study	Yes
JRPP Secretariat (DP&E)_07-01-2016_Part of Oatlands	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Golf Course adjoining Niblick Crescent, Oatlandspdf		

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land
Additional Information :	DELEGATION OF PLAN MAKING FUNCTIONS This planning proposal is the result of a pre-gateway review application and the Sydney West Joint Regional Planning Panel has been appointed as the alternate relevant planning authority. It is not possible to issue plan making delegations to the Panel.
	Section 117 Directions
	It is recommended that the Minister's delegate agree that any inconsistency with s.117 Direction 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils is of minor significance.
	DETERMINATION
	It is recommended that the Planning Proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:
	 Prior to exhibition, the Panel is to amend the planning proposal as indicated below: (a) The map labels for 'Current Floor Space Ratio Map' and 'Proposed Floor Space Ratio Map' be relocated from page 8 to page 9 of the planning proposal to align with the relevant maps;
	(b) Page 11 of the planning proposal be updated to refer to the current metropolitan strategy i.e. "A Plan for Growing Sydney".
	 Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows: (a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days; and
	(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning and Environment 2013).
	3. No consultation is required with public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act.

	4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons :	This planning proposal is supported as it will enable an additional 3 detached dwellings consistent with the surrounding low density residential character.
Signature:	L. Charlesworth L. charlesworth ting Team Leader Date: 4.2.16
Printed Name:	ting Team Leader Date: 4.2.16

6

